

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW. jestin eruy

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/398,378 09/17/1999		LEONARD CORNING LAHEY	BO9-99-030	1012	
24033	7590	06/09/2004		EXAMI	INER
		VICTOR, LLI	MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M		
315 S. BEVE	RLY DRIVE	3		ARTIBUT	DADED AND OPEN
# 210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEVERLY F	IILLS, CA	90212	3623		

3623 DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/398,378		LAHEY ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	1.77				
		Susanna M. Diaz		3623	My				
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet	t with the co	orrespondence ac	idress "				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 h</u>	<u> 1arch 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠ Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
•	nce this application is in condition for allowa				e merits is				
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ CI	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐ CI	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐ CI	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application	Papers								
•	e specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	🗂	of informal Pa	atent Application (PT	O-152)				
po	·	-,							

Application/Control Number: 09/398,378

. Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

This Final Office action is responsive to Applicant's amendment filed March 2,
 2004.

Claims 1-8, 11-15, 17-19, 25, 26, 29, and 31 have been amended.

Claims 1-36 are pending.

2. The previously pending rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101 is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendment of the claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, which are necessitated by Applicant's extensive claim amendments.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality:

Claim 1, line 2, delete ".", insert --, -- after "computing system"

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/398,378

Art Unit: 3623

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-5, 8-17, 20-29, and 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Saito et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,578,006).

Please note that, as per claim 10 (for example), figure 5 and column 7, lines 21-25 teach that a document is input in one format and is later used to output a document in another format, which exemplifies alteration of the format of a data file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6, 7, 18, 19, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,578,006).

As per claims 6, 7, 18, 19, 30, and 31, Saito does not expressly teach what occurs when an error status is detected. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the art of workflow processing to monitor for error conditions and make corrections accordingly. This error monitoring is crucial in order to ensure that the entire workflow is carried out properly and as efficiently as possible in



Application/Control Number: 09/398,378

Art Unit: 3623

light of potential error conditions. Therefore, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to enhance Saito's invention with the ability to perform workflow monitoring to detect error conditions and correct these errors accordingly (as recited in claims 6, 7, 18, 19, 30, and 31) in order to ensure that the entire workflow is carried out properly and as efficiently as possible in light of potential error conditions.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/398,378 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703)746-7048 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 22202, 7th floor receptionist.

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623 May 30, 2004